



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/518,930

01/13/2005

Tommi Koistinen

60282.00238

7618

32294

7590

11/14/2008

SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P.

8000 TOWERS CRESCENT DRIVE

14TH FLOOR

VIENNA, VA 22182-6212

EXAMINER

TAHA, SHAQ

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2446

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/14/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/518,930	Applicant(s) KOISTINEN ET AL.	
	Examiner SHAQ TAHA	Art Unit 2446	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 August 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 26 - 37 and 41 - 56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 26 - 37 and 41 - 56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 27 December 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This is a final action for application number 10/518,930 based on after non-final filed on 11/20/2007. The original application was filed on 12/27/2004. Claims 26 – 37, 41 - 56 are currently pending and have been considered below. Claims 26, 41, 50, 51, 52, 53, and 54 are independent claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26 – 37, and 41 – 56 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26 – 37, 41 – 47, and 49 - 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaniyar et al. (US 2003/0187914) in view of Aviani et al. (US 6,976,085)

Regarding claims 26, Kaniyar et al. teaches a method, comprising: obtaining a current connection state as well as a current load state of each of a plurality of processors configured to perform communication in a packet switched connection, **[After the data packet and data packet descriptor are stored in memory 340, an interrupt request (IRQ) is sent from the NIC 320 to a "scheduling processor," one of the processors 350a, 350b in the multiprocessor system 300 chosen through the use of a load-balancing algorithm for the purpose of handling original requests, wherein before choosing one of the processors to handle a request as shown in Fig. 3a, the load-balancing multiprocessor will obtain a connection state of the processors and the load state of the processors to send the request to the connected and least busy processor, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

selecting on a per packet basis, by a load balancer configured to distribute load to said a processors, a processor in such a manner that a respective next packet is distributed to one of said processors having a lowest load irrespective of a specific connection to which this next packet belongs, **[the scheduling processor chosen by the load-balancing algorithm is the least busy processor in the multiprocessor system 300, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

and maintaining information about the load state of each processor so that said selecting is performed by selecting one of said processors to serve and process a respective packet based on the load state, **[A scheduling processor in the multiprocessor system, selected by a load-balancing algorithm, reads each data packet and applies a mapping algorithm to portions of the data packet yielding a**

map value, wherein the interrupt request rotates between the available processors on a round-robin basis so that the multiprocessor system will maintain information regarding the load and connection state of the processors, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 8, Page 1)],

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach informing the current connection state to respective processors comprising inserting data indicating the current connection state into a packet to be distributed,

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Art Unit: 2446

Regarding claim 27, a method wherein said data storage is accessed by said load balancer, **[magnetic disk storage or other magnetic storage devices, or any other medium which can be used to store the desired information and which can be accessed by the SMP systems as shown in Fig. 2, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 28, Page 3)]**.

Regarding claim 28, a method wherein said data storage is accessed by said processors, **[SMP systems 100a-b include two or more processing units 250a-b, communication device(s) 225 and memory 240 as shown in Fig. 2, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 27, Page 3)]**.

Regarding claim 29, a method wherein said information about the load state is maintained as a Boolean state, **[it is inherent to use a Boolean value, since it is a digital or analog that depends on 1s and 0s, or true and false]**.

Regarding claim 30, a method wherein a processor is selected in a round-robin fashion, **[the interrupt request rotates between the available processors on a round-robin basis, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)]**.

Regarding claim 31, a method wherein a supported service profile for each unit processor is maintained, **[The NIC, which maintains a processor queue for each**

processor in the system, then queues the packet descriptor to the appropriate processor queue based on the hash value, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 10, Page 2)].

Regarding claim 32, a method wherein said supported service profile is used as additional selection criteria, **[If the data packet is not of the type that should be scaled, in step 508, the selected processor is chosen based on other load-balancing criteria, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 40, Page 5)].**

Regarding claim 33, a method wherein said load balancer is configured to obtain a load state from each processor upon a hardware based mechanism, **[A suitable hardware structure for achieving scalability beyond a single processor is a "symmetric multiprocessor" (SMP) system, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 26, Page 3)].**

Regarding claim 34, a method wherein said load balancer is configured to obtain a load state from each processor upon a packet based mechanism, **[After the data packet and data packet descriptor are stored in memory 340, an interrupt request (IRQ) is sent from the NIC 320 to a "scheduling processor," one of the processors 350a, 350b in the multiprocessor system 300 chosen through the use of a load-balancing algorithm for the purpose of handling original requests, wherein before choosing one of the processors to handle a request as shown in Fig. 3a, the load-balancing multiprocessor will obtain a connection state of the processors and the**

Art Unit: 2446

load state of the processors to send the request to the connected and least busy processor, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)].

Regarding claim 35, Kaniyar et al. teaches implementing symmetrical multiprocessing in a multiprocessor system and increasing performance of the multiprocessor system, **(Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 7, Page 1)**,

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach that the load state of processor is inserted into a packet processed by said processor,

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Regarding claim 36, a method wherein a packet returned by a processor is interpreted as a flag for a free resource, **[If the interrupt is disabled, the method returns to step 700 to receive additional data packets and begin the process of storing them, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 43, Page 5)].**

Regarding claim 37, a method wherein excess traffic is redirected to another load balancer, said excess traffic being defined upon the number of active processors, **[directing the received data packet to the selected processor; and processing the data packet, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 50, Page 7)].**

Regarding claim 41, an apparatus, comprising: selection circuitry configured to select on a per packet basis, one of a plurality of processors configured to perform communication in a packet switched connection on the basis of a stored load state of the selected processor in such a manner that a respective next packet is distributed to the selected processor has a lowest load among said processors irrespective of a specific connection to which this next packet belongs, **[the scheduling processor chosen by the load-balancing algorithm is the least busy processor in the multiprocessor system 300, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach informing the current connection state to respective processors comprising inserting data indicating the current connection state into a packet to be distributed,

Art Unit: 2446

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Regarding claim 42, an apparatus, wherein a load state of a processor is contained in a table, **[An exemplary processor selection policy includes any acceptable manner of cross-referencing the hash value to a processor in the multiprocessor system, including a processor identification table, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 40, Page 5)]**.

Regarding claim 43, a method wherein said information about the load state is maintained as a Boolean state, **[it is inherent to use a Boolean value, since it is a**

digital or analog that depends on 1s and 0s, or true and false].

Regarding claim 44, an apparatus wherein a load state of a processor is expressed as value which corresponds to the percentage of load, **[The hashing function yields a hash value that identifies which processor is selected to process the data packet, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 9, Page 1)].**

Regarding claim 45, an apparatus, wherein said selection circuitry is configured such that a processor is selected also on the basis of a parameter indicating the service profile supported by a respective processor, **[If the data packet is not of the type that should be scaled, in step 508, the selected processor is chosen based on other load-balancing criteria, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 40, Page 5)].**

Regarding claim 46, an apparatus, wherein a load state of a processor is contained in a table, **[An exemplary processor selection policy includes any acceptable manner of cross-referencing the hash value to a processor in the multiprocessor system, including a processor identification table, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 40, Page 5)].**

Regarding claim 47, Kaniyar et al. teaches implementing symmetrical multiprocessing in a multiprocessor system and increasing performance of the multiprocessor system, **(Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 7, Page 1),**

Art Unit: 2446

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach that the load state of processor is inserted into a packet processed by said processor,

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Regarding claim 49, an apparatus wherein a switch configured to redirect excess traffic to another load balancer, said excess traffic being defined upon the number of active processors, **[directing the received data packet to the selected processor; and processing the data packet, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 50, Page 7)]**.

Regarding claim 50, Kaniyar et al. teaches a system comprising: obtaining a current connection state as well as a current load state of each of a plurality of processors configured to perform communication in a packet switched connection, **[After the data packet and data packet descriptor are stored in memory 340, an interrupt request (IRQ) is sent from the NIC 320 to a "scheduling processor," one of the processors 350a, 350b in the multiprocessor system 300 chosen through the use of a load-balancing algorithm for the purpose of handling original requests, wherein before choosing one of the processors to handle a request as shown in Fig. 3a, the load-balancing multiprocessor will obtain a connection state of the processors and the load state of the processors to send the request to the connected and least busy processor, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

selecting on a per packet basis, by a load balancer configured to distribute load to said a processors, a processor in such a manner that a respective next packet is distributed to one of said processors having a lowest load irrespective of a specific connection to which this next packet belongs, **[the scheduling processor chosen by the load-balancing algorithm is the least busy processor in the multiprocessor system 300, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

and maintaining information about the load state of each processor so that said selecting is performed by selecting one of said processors to serve and process a respective packet based on the load state, **[A scheduling processor in the multiprocessor system, selected by a load-balancing algorithm, reads each data packet and applies a mapping algorithm to portions of the data packet yielding a**

Art Unit: 2446

map value, wherein the interrupt request rotates between the available processors on a round-robin basis so that the multiprocessor system will maintain information regarding the load and connection state of the processors, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 8, Page 1)],

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach informing the current connection state to respective processors comprising inserting data indicating the current connection state into a packet to be distributed,

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Regarding claim 51, Kaniyar et al. teaches a computer program embodied on a computer readable medium, the computer readable medium storing code comprising computer executable instructions configured to perform a method comprising: obtaining a current connection state as well as a current load state of each of a plurality of processors configured to perform communication in a packet switched connection, **[After the data packet and data packet descriptor are stored in memory 340, an interrupt request (IRQ) is sent from the NIC 320 to a "scheduling processor," one of the processors 350a, 350b in the multiprocessor system 300 chosen through the use of a load-balancing algorithm for the purpose of handling original requests, wherein before choosing one of the processors to handle a request as shown in Fig. 3a, the load-balancing multiprocessor will obtain a connection state of the processors and the load state of the processors to send the request to the connected and least busy processor, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

selecting on a per packet basis, by a load balancer configured to distribute load to said a processors, a processor in such a manner that a respective next packet is distributed to one of said processors having a lowest load irrespective of a specific connection to which this next packet belongs, **[the scheduling processor chosen by the load-balancing algorithm is the least busy processor in the multiprocessor system 300, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

and maintaining information about the load state of each processor so that said selecting is performed by selecting one of said processors to serve and process a respective packet based on the load state, **[A scheduling processor in the**

Art Unit: 2446

multiprocessor system, selected by a load-balancing algorithm, reads each data packet and applies a mapping algorithm to portions of the data packet yielding a map value, wherein the interrupt request rotates between the available processors on a round-robin basis so that the multiprocessor system will maintain information regarding the load and connection state of the processors, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 8, Page 1)],

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach informing the current connection state to respective processors comprising inserting data indicating the current connection state into a packet to be distributed,

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Regarding claim 52, a system comprising: maintaining means for maintaining a load state of each of multiple processors performing a packet switched communication connection, **[A scheduling processor in the multiprocessor system, selected by a load-balancing algorithm, reads each data packet and applies a mapping algorithm to portions of the data packet yielding a map value, wherein the interrupt request rotates between the available processors on a round-robin basis so that the multiprocessor system will maintain information regarding the load and connection state of the processors, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 8, Page 1)],**

and selecting means for selecting, on a per packet basis, one of the processors on the basis of its load state in such a manner that a respective next packet is distributed to a processor having a lowest load irrespective of a specific connection to which a respective packet belongs, **[the scheduling processor chosen by the load-balancing algorithm is the least busy processor in the multiprocessor system 300, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach informing the current connection state to respective processors comprising inserting data indicating the current connection state into a packet to be distributed,

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6,**

Art Unit: 2446

lines 40-45), in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Regarding claim 53, an apparatus, comprising, a load balancer, wherein the load balancer is configured to: obtaining a current connection state as well as a current load state of each of a plurality of processors configured to perform communication in a packet switched connection, **[After the data packet and data packet descriptor are stored in memory 340, an interrupt request (IRQ) is sent from the NIC 320 to a "scheduling processor," one of the processors 350a, 350b in the multiprocessor system 300 chosen through the use of a load-balancing algorithm for the purpose of handling original requests, wherein before choosing one of the processors to handle a request as shown in Fig. 3a, the load-balancing multiprocessor will obtain a connection state of the processors and the load state of the processors**

Art Unit: 2446

to send the request to the connected and least busy processor, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],

selecting on a per packet basis, by a load balancer configured to distribute load to said a processors, a processor in such a manner that a respective next packet is distributed to one of said processors having a lowest load irrespective of a specific connection to which this next packet belongs, **[the scheduling processor chosen by the load-balancing algorithm is the least busy processor in the multiprocessor system 300, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

and maintaining information about the load state of each processor so that said selecting is performed by selecting one of said processors to serve and process a respective packet based on the load state, **[A scheduling processor in the multiprocessor system, selected by a load-balancing algorithm, reads each data packet and applies a mapping algorithm to portions of the data packet yielding a map value, wherein the interrupt request rotates between the available processors on a round-robin basis so that the multiprocessor system will maintain information regarding the load and connection state of the processors, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 8, Page 1)],**

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach informing the current connection state to respective processors comprising inserting data indicating the current connection state into a packet to be distributed,

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets

Art Unit: 2446

exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Regarding claim 54, an apparatus, comprising: maintaining means for maintaining a load state of each of multiple processors performing a packet switched communication connection, **[A scheduling processor in the multiprocessor system, selected by a load-balancing algorithm, reads each data packet and applies a mapping algorithm to portions of the data packet yielding a map value, wherein the interrupt request rotates between the available processors on a round-robin basis so that the multiprocessor system will maintain information regarding the load and connection state of the processors, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 8, Page 1)],**

Art Unit: 2446

and selecting means for selecting, on a per packet basis, one of the processors on the basis of its load state in such a manner that a respective next packet is distributed to a processor having a lowest load irrespective of a specific connection to which a respective packet belongs, **[the scheduling processor chosen by the load-balancing algorithm is the least busy processor in the multiprocessor system 300, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 31, Page 3)],**

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach informing the current connection state to respective processors comprising inserting data indicating the current connection state into a packet to be distributed,

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Regarding claim 55, Kaniyar et al. teaches implementing symmetrical multiprocessing in a multiprocessor system and increasing performance of the multiprocessor system, **(Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 7, Page 1)**,

Kaniyar et al. fails to teach that the load state of processor is inserted into a packet processed by said processor,

Aviani et al. teaches maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**,

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Kaniyar et al. by maintaining connection state data in the data communications device that tracks an amount of extra data inserted into packets exchanged between the first and second computerized devices, **(Aviani et al., Col. 6, lines 40-45)**, in order to adjust the connection information associated with that packet so as to not disrupt or disturb the communication session state maintained by the receiving computer system, **(Aviani et al., Col. 3, lines 49-53)**.

Regarding claim 56, an apparatus further comprising means for redirecting excess traffic to another device, wherein said excess traffic is defined upon the number of active processors, **[directing the received data packet to the selected processor; and processing the data packet, (Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 50, Page 7)]**.

Claim 48 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaniyar et al. (US 2003/0187914) in view of Aviani et al. (US 6,976,085) and further in view of Reimer et al. (US 2002/0059502)

Regarding claim 48, the modified Kaniyar et al. teaches implementing symmetrical multiprocessing in a multiprocessor system and increasing performance of the multiprocessor system, **(Kaniyar et al., Paragraph 7, Page 1)**,

The modified Kaniyar et al. fails to teach that the processors are comprised of multi core digital signal processing elements having a shared data storage for all cores, whereby said device comprises a first level of load balancing for selecting a digital signal processing means and a second level of load balancing for selecting a single core,

Reimer et al. teaches a multi-core digital signal processor having a shared program memory with conditional write protection, **(Reimer et al., Paragraph 8, Page 1)**, in order to provide write protection of the shared program memory which would

Art Unit: 2446

prevent the software from being loaded or changed, (**Reimer et al., Paragraph 7, Page 1**),

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the modified Kaniyar by including a multi-core digital signal processor having a shared program memory with conditional write protection, (**Reimer et al., Paragraph 8, Page 1**), in order to provide write protection of the shared program memory which would prevent the software from being loaded or changed, (**Reimer et al., Paragraph 7, Page 1**).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Shaq Taha** whose telephone number is 571-270-1921. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5pm Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Jeff Pwu** can be reached on 571-272-6798.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should

Art Unit: 2446

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/S. T./

Examiner, Art Unit 2446

/Joseph E. Avellino/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2446